

# California State University, Fullerton Fullerton, California

## May 2016

#### ACCREDITATION DECISION

Accreditation is continued at the initial teacher preparation and advanced preparation levels. The next onsite visit will take place in Fall 2022.

Please refer to the on-site report for strengths of the EPP and for additional information on findings.

STANDARDS SUMMARY				
Standards	Initial Teacher	Advanced Preparation		
Standards	Preparation (ITP)	(ADV)		
1 Candidate Knowledge, Skills, and Professional Dispositions	Met	Met		
Professional Dispositions	Met	IVICE		
★2 Assessment System and Unit Evaluation	Met	Met		
★ 3 Field Experiences and Clinical Practice	Met	Met		
★4 Diversity	Met	Met		
5 Faculty Qualifications, Performance, and	Met	Met		
Development	iviet	Met		
★ 6 Unit Governance and Resources	Met	Met		

#### AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

The following areas for improvement (AFIs) should be addressed before the provider's next visit. Progress made toward eliminating them should be reported in the EPP Annual Report. The visit team will indicate in its on-site report at the next visit whether the provider has adequately addressed each of the AFIs.

STANDARD 1 - Candidate Knowledge, Skills, and Professional Dispositions

Candidates preparing to work in schools as teachers or other school professionals know and demonstrate the content knowledge, pedagogical content knowledge and skills, pedagogical and professional knowledge and

#### California State University, Fullerton Page 2

skills, and professional dispositions necessary to help all students learn. Assessments indicate that candidates meet professional, state, and institutional standards.

	1	The unit lacks sufficient evidence that candidates develop and demonstrate the	€ ITP
1	1	professional dispositions identified by the unit.	₽ ADV

### STANDARD 2 - Assessment System and Unit Evaluation

The unit has an assessment system that collects and analyzes data on applicant qualifications, candidate and graduate performance, and unit operations to evaluate and improve the performance of candidates, the unit, and its programs.

1	The unit does not collaborate with necessary members of the professional	ь ITP
1	community to implement and evaluate the assessment system.	₽ ADV

NOTE: Neither CAEP staff, team members, nor other agents of CAEP are empowered to make or modify Commission decisions. These remain the sole responsibility of the Commission itself. This Accreditation Action Report is available to members of the public upon receipt of a request in writing.