Proposer Name:		
Proposal Title:		
	Criteria	Points
Project Summary 250 Words 5 points Project	Is the title compelling and clear? Is the abstract clear and void of jargon? Is the overall goal clearly and specifically described? What knowledge/art will be advanced? Does it matter? Are benefits to the University described? Is the project background and overall focus clear? Does the research hypothesis or problem seem feasible?	/5
Background and Description 1000 Words 15 points	Are preliminary data described? In general, is the project background and description written without jargon? What knowledge/art will be advanced? Does it matter? Does the applicant cite literature to support arguments/claims? Is this project compelling?	/15
Methodology 500 words 10 points	<ul> <li>Are the methods or procedures clearly and specifically described?</li> <li>Can you tell what the applicant will do?</li> <li>Is the description substantive &amp; concrete?</li> <li>Will the project clearly meet application requirements?</li> <li>How feasible is the timeline?</li> <li>Does the applicant address resources? IRB (if warranted)?</li> </ul>	/10
Impact & Outcomes 250 words 10 points	Is student learning described? Of value? Are potential partnerships described? Feasible? Valuable? What impact and outcome will this result in? Anything specific? Of value? Is dissemination of the results described? How?	/10
Personal Background & Qualifications 250 words 10 points	Do the proposer's qualifications fit with this app? Is previous scholarly or creative work described in light of the current work?	/10
External Funding Target & Fit 200 words 10 points	Is external funding target described clearly, including funding agency, program name, due date, and funding amount?  Are the application requirements for the external funding target described?  How will they be met?	/10
Budget 200 words 10 points	Is the narrative description of the budget specific (time and money spending described)? Justified? Comprehensive? Is there a budget template attached (if no, -2)? Is the narrative aligned with the "budget template"?	/10

		TOTAL
		/70
Additional General comments	Include comments that support your numeric rankings in each category above. If points were subtracted, indicate clearly why.	
	Summary:	
	Background:	
	Methods:	
	Impact:	
	Qualifications:	
	Funding Target:	
	Interdisciplinary:	
	Budget:	

In each of these categories, think in terms of an A-F scale: 10/9 = A; 8/7 = B; 6/5 = C; 4/3 = D; 2/1 - F. For example, is the "external funding" description "A" level? Does it cover all of the prompts thoughtfully and specifically? If it is PERFECT = 10; anything less, is less. Support your scores with substantive comments. Avoid obtuse/blanket statements (e.g., "meets all requirements"). Instead, provide a brief rationale for each score in each category.

Use a separate copy of this rubric for each review.