

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FULLERTON

ACADEMIC SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITEE MINUTES FEBRUARY 2, 2016

Approved 2-16-16

Draft

11:30 AM - 12:50 PM PLN-120

Present: Bonney, Casem, Dabirian, Meyer, Sargeant, Stang, Stohs, Walker

Absent: Guerin, Oliver, Stambough

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Bonney called the meeting to order at 11:30 AM.

II. URGENT BUSINESS

No urgent business.

III. ANNOUNCEMENTS

- The Carnegie classification system has changed. According to their new system we are now categorized as an R3, a moderate research, university.
- The data center pod will be located between the Health Center and the pool. IT will need to transfer some of the major servers into the pod to protect them from possible flooding caused by El Nino. The best time to do this will be Presidents weekend. While some systems have redundancy, the Titanium servers will be down from Saturday afternoon (after classes) until Sunday morning. A notice is going out to campus tomorrow.

IV. TIMES APPROXIMATE

12:00 PM

Topic: College Town

Presenter: Chris Reese and Owen Holmes

Chris Reese gave an update on College Town. College Town is going up for a vote on February 10th before the City of Fullerton Planning Commission. If the members vote in favor of it, the plan will go to the City of Fullerton's City Council on March 15th.

In 2007, the city completed its general plan, including zoning regulations for the entire community. The City looked at the area south of campus and said they didn't want to deal with it in their big committee. Instead, they wanted to make it a special project. They approached the campus at that time to develop a 30-year vision for the area, showing what it would look like as the campus and surrounding areas grow over the next 30 years. In 2008-09, we met with faculty, staff, students, business owners, land owners and local residents to come up with a vision. The Nutwood reconfiguration was put on the table, looking at a big density boost for the area to the south of the university. The city liked it, they thought the visioning was good and in 2011 asked us to move forward with the technical documents, specific plan and the environmental report. The specific plan provides an overlay of zoning and a perspective that if somebody chooses to develop their land, they can develop under these new rules instead of the rules that exist, it does not force anybody to change. We are one of twelve owners in this community, we have Planning Area 3 (PA3), and all other areas are owned by private owners, so it's on them to decide when they are going to develop.

The campus community was very supportive of the plan. They liked the idea of closing Nutwood to through traffic given the safety implications. The campus community liked the idea of having more students housing, more job opportunities, more retail opportunities, and fewer students commuting.

The local community has express concerns about reconfiguring Nutwood Avenue and the potential impact it will have on parking in their neighborhoods. There are about fifteen neighbors who are going to oppose the plan.

There are a lot of elements of this plan that will be carried forward no matter what. There are two things in this plan that are really critical, 1) the business improvement district which is a financing vehicle for the

businesses that come about in the area to fund maintenance and upkeep; 2) a parking improvement district for the neighborhood surrounding the campus, which will help fund, through the parking fees, better maintenance and enforcement of parking regulations. If the plan succeeds or fails, we have done what we were asked to do. We will continue to be supportive.

- Q: There is a lot of opposition speaking; do we have a lineup of those to speak who support it?
- A: We will support it. I believe the students will send a representative to speak to provide support. The city is making sure the land-owners and business owners are there to support.
- Q: Is there any intention of increasing the on and off ramps of Chapman and Yorba Linda?
- A: Before Nutwood can be realigned, Chapman will be expanded to three lanes in each direction. They would like Caltrans to work with the city to add an additional on-ramp lane.

12:15 PM

Topic: SOAR Grant and Elevar Scholars
Presenter: Julian Jefferies and Katherine Powers

Julian Jefferies and Katherine Powers gave an overview of SOAR (Strengthening Opportunities, Access and Resources) a Title V, Part B-PPOHA grant from the Department of Education promoting post baccalaureate opportunities for Hispanic Americans and Elevar Scholars Program.

SOAR is a five year \$2.5 million grant that is implemented through the Office of Graduate Studies and extends to 2019. The grant supports graduate students' academic achievement through academic advising, academic and personal support, professional development, mentorship and networking opportunities. The grant supports Latina/o students in graduate school and by extension all underrepresented minorities, disadvantaged students and, low-income students are also named in the proposal.

The grand opening of the Student Success Center is on February 10th. A resource center located in the library, is intended just for graduate students.

The Elevar Scholars program is a \$2,000 scholarship for Latina/o first generation or educationally disadvantaged graduate students. In addition the program will offer three professional development workshops and networking activities throughout the year. We offer workshops on how to get the best out of your mentor, how to negotiate salaries, and how to prepare yourself to apply for a Ph.D. program.

- Q: Can some of the information be on the website via videos for all of our students to take advantage of?
- Q: Are there good resources for faculty who are developing curriculum? Do we have resources that are easily accessible and available?

Suggestion:

Have this presentation at an Academic Senate meeting, probably March 24th.

V. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

No minutes.

VI. CHAIR'S REPORT (Bonney)

Chair Bonney reported on topics below.

Chair Bonney has sent out the list of concerns for UPS 100.700 and has talked about it with the Provost.

Suggestion:

- · To send the UPS to a committee to fix.
- · Chair Bonney will also send an email to AVP Nwosu.
- ➤ Had a discussion with the President about the smoking policy, she would like us to send it to one of the senate committees to see if they can come up with how other campuses handle this and they can give her a report so she will act upon it.
- Danny Kim would like to respond to Lynda Randall's concerns about parking. He would like to get CF&B involved and work with them on a report on parking.

Suggestion:

- · To have stacked parking closer.
- Look at the app that counts the number of parking spaces
- Will give to CF&B to work with Danny Kim to develop a report on parking.
- Have the committee identify trends, so we can let the part-time and full-time faculty know.
- · Have a shuttle from the outer parking lots.

- Suggestion was made to draft a resolution recognizing Kelsey Brewer's achievements representing CSUF.
- > The University 100 and CNSM 100 are both up for GE certification for lifelong learning.
- ➤ There is another UPS being referred to FAC, UPS 210.020 Post Tenure Review to change the language regarding who holds copies of Departmental Standards for post-tenure review (should be FAR) and who reviews the documents (should be FAC).
- ➤ The Grad Ed Committee is drafting a formal response to the Academic Master Plan.
- ➤ We need 2-3 faculty to serve on the University Awards Committee. They also want another committee to review the applications; they don't want the same committee to do both jobs.

VII. PROVOST REPORT

Last week the Board of Trustees discussed The Sustainable Financial Model. There were as many questions as recommendations. Chancellor White closed out the discussion and his comments aligned with what our campus has stated in the past, including the need to invest in more tenure-track faculty to adequately balance access, affordability, and quality. The PRBC is currently working on a letter providing a more nuanced position statement regarding the sustainable funding model discussion.

Governor Brown published the four-year graduation rates for all the CSU campuses in the budget document. On the list, we appear as having an 18% four year graduation rate. That was last year's number; this year we achieved a 22% graduation rate. It's important to note that women are twice as likely to graduate in four years as men are and that if we look at those from the 2011 cohort that graduated in four years, 31% were Pell recipients and 19% were first generation college students. These are preliminary numbers, I will share a more exhaustive analyses when it is available.

- Q: Is there any information available of how many changes of majors a student had that didn't finish in four years?
- A: We are looking into this.
- Q: Do we know if the students worked on campus? Were they part-time or full-time employed? A: We can look into this.

Suggestions:

- A program to be created for students maintains a 3.0 or above gpa, the last semester or last year is free. That's better than priority registration.
- Award students with priority registration based on percentage of requirements completed, not on random units.
- Better orientation, we need more than a one-day orientation.
 - We have an anonymous donor that recently agreed to fund a pilot program for a 2-day orientation program for 500 students.

VIII. STAFF REPORT (Benjamin Report)

No staff report.

IX. COMMITTEE LIAISON REPORTS

9.1 Planning, Resource & Budget Committee [Meyer] F, 1-29-16, 1:00 PM - 2:30 PM, CP-1060-05 Short agenda with only 3 items, yet fill the 1 ½ hour meeting.

We discussed and approved a Minor in food studies.

Lisa Kopecky lead a review and discussion of Curriculog and questions that might be useful for PRBC review for new programs & courses.

Report by Jon Bruschke & the Ad Hoc Committee to respond to the PBF report. Interesting information, which I will share at the Exec Meeting tomorrow.

9.2 General Education Committee [Casem] F, 1-29-16, 2:00 PM - 4:00 PM, MH-141 Heather Osborne Thompson was elected as the new chair for the GE committee to replace Greg Childers during his sabbatical. The committee reviewed its ongoing obligations and discussed the new tasks for the Spring semester. The committee voted to approve the re-certification of the B.1 - B.4 courses in Geology and Mathematics, with the exception of Math 115. The committee requested more information about how Math 115 meets the GE writing requirement. Consideration of the recertification of the B.1 - B.4 courses from Chemistry and Physics will be addressed by the end of

Feb. Subcommittees were formed to evaluate re-certification of courses in the GE categories D.1 and D.2. The committee will decide which GE categories will undergo re-certification next year at our next meeting. Limited progress was made on the task of assessing GE. More information is required before the committee can finalize its plans.

IX. NEW BUSINESS

- 10.1 Revision to UPS 100.000 Academic Senate Constitution
- 10.2 Revision to UPS 100.001 Academic Senate Bylaws
- 10.3 UPS 210.007 and UPS 210.500 Appointment of Administrative Personnel [Source: FAC]
 - 1. UPS 210.007 and ups 210.500 track changes
 - 2. UPS 210.007 track changes
 - 3. UPS 210.500 track changes
 - 4. Email from President García
- 10.4 New UPS 3XX.XXX Faculty Selection of Instructional Materials [Source: FAC]
- 10.5 Revision to UPS 411.100 Curriculum Guidelines and Procedures Courses [Source: UCC]
 - 1. Email from Mark Fischer
- 10.6 Title IX & Syllabi
- 10.7 Follow-up on Retreat. New UPS on Core Competencies?
- 10.8 Review of Chairs
 - 1. Bakersfield
 - 2. Channel Islands
 - 3. East Bay
 - 4. San Bernardino
 - 5. San Jose
- 10.9 Revised ECS Exemption Resolution Jon Bruschke

X. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 12:55 PM.