



**ACADEMIC SENATE
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
MINUTES
OCTOBER 2, 2018**

Approved 10-9-18

11:30 AM - 12:50 PM

PLN-120

Present: Bruschke, Dabirian, Fitch, Gradilla, Perez, Powers, Shahi, Stohs, Stambough

Absent: Rodriguez

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Stohs called the meeting to order at 11:30 am.

II. URGENT BUSINESS

III. ANNOUNCEMENTS

- (Bruschke) In the fall of 2017 the Statewide Senate elected to (instead of doing a vote of no confidence) negotiate between the Senate Exec and the Chancellor's office "Tenants of Shared Governance." It came out last spring, but did not satisfy some and we have not voted on it, so it is not clear what the Statewide Senate will do. They came back to the campuses and some campuses apparently are going to vote against the Tenants of Shared Governance.

(Stohs) We will put this on the Exec agenda in two weeks to discuss.

- (Dabirian) We are looking at Multi Factor Authentication for the campus where you will only have to change your password once a year. We will bring it to the Senate in 2 or 3 weeks. IT is also looking into geofencing for faculty in private offices and they will not have to enter passwords as often. IT plans on rolling it out in phases in the spring semester.

Q: (Stohs) Will you do a test group?

A: (Dabirian) IT is required by the auditors to do a test group in November. We will run a test in November for people that have Level 1 Data. I can also do a test with the Executive Committee in January to get your ideas.

IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

4.1 EC Minutes (Draft) 9-25-18

M/S/P (Powers/Gradilla) Minutes were approved unanimously.

V. CHAIR'S REPORT

- (Stohs) I spoke with President Virjee about the letter/resolution on the Children Separation Policy. The President's office stated if there is going to be a letter they would like to initiate it between the President and the Executive Committee only.

We agreed at a previous meeting James would be the Exec representative and to also include the Digital Attack draft document we received from Kristi Kanel. I'm guessing the best way to move forward is to use the document from Kristi as an initial talking point and adding what the President's office is comfortable with to create a broad document.

Q: (Stohs) Do we want James, Jon, or myself to be the lead person to work with the President's office on this document?

A: (Dabirian) I think it should be you. You are our representative to work with the President.

Q: (Stohs) Do we use the document received from Kristi Kanel?

A: (Stambough) I would say not use it at the moment, but some of it could be incorporated.

- (Bruschke) I would take that document and the Statewide Senate document and approach the President and say the campus needs a policy on this, let's work together and figure what the next step is to drafting it.

Q: (Gradilla) Can we parallel what we already do in other security matters on campus (i.e., make sure IT and the Police Chief are called in)?

A: (Stohs) Yes.

Q: (Dabirian) Does the Statewide look at other universities that have similar things, where we could steal policies?

A: (Bruschke) I think we have clues but not a lot, I can look into it.

(Stohs) Jon would you put down a 4 or 5 bullet point list and get it to me by next week and I will work with the President's office to get something done.

VI. PROVOST REPORT

General Education:

There will be a policy on the Senate floor for discussion in November.

Graduate Education:

There is a group forming to think about the plan and the support for Grad Ed. We have to think about the charge for the group so that 4 or 5 key items get in front of the group. One of the pieces I will share with Pam Oliver and will share with COD this week is, we are just looking at the five-year trend data on what does Grad Ed look like on our campus if we go back 5 years to the present. By institution, there is a fairly significant decrease in enrollment in Grad Ed programs. We also have the information by departments, we will share the data.

Faculty Searches:

We are approved for the 60 searches [we have been talking about]. The departments are posting, so each week we see an increase in the number of positions that are posted. I will have a conversation tomorrow with COD about doing additional searches to come close to hiring 60 new faculty. Our historical yield has been 85%. When we asked about the +10 our initial ask is for +22. We have to have a conversation whether to post for the +22 based on our history of 85 percent yield, or if we should narrow down the ask a little bit.

VII. STAFF REPORT

The Special Election voting closes Thursday at 4:00 pm.

VIII. COMMITTEE LIAISON REPORTS

8.1 ASI Board [Stohs], T, 9-25-18, 1:15 - 3:45 PM, TSU Legislative Chambers

- Special reports from Titan Shops and from VP Forgues (and Bobby Porter); usual reports, a new member from MCBE was elected.
- Josh Borjas mentioned his role in the search for the new president of CSUF. He solicited input from members about their view of the characteristics for the new president.
- Dave Edwards' report mentioned the importance of the IRA committee (they still need student members).

8.2 Faculty Affairs Committee [Stambough], F, 9-28-18, 10:00 AM - 12:00 PM, MH-141

No report submitted.

8.3 Campus Facilities & Beautification Committee [Dabirian], F, 9-28-18, 11:00 AM - 12:00 PM, PLS-260C

- We had quorum and passed the minutes
- Dean Johnson (NSM) presented the plan for McCarthy Hall upgrade.
- Dr. Izadian (AVP of Facilities) presented the plan for south gateway to the campus (for fire trucks to the library). The goal is to build a promenade for the south gateway including the quad. There will be improved pavers, lighting, walkway strong enough for fire trucks and nice trees. The project includes a gateway from the LH to Library entrance by Starbucks.

Additional Report:

(Dabirian) I believe it would be a good idea for the Promenade Mall Presentation be done at the Academic Senate.

- (Stohs) We will include this on the October 18th AS Agenda.

8.4 General Education Committee [Fitch], F, 9-28-18, 2:00 - 4:00 PM, MH-141

- Chair of committee expressed a concern that there are not enough courses in B5 to allow students to fulfill that upper division GE requirement
- Chair again expressed concern about overlays and bottlenecks with overlays that are affecting graduation rates
- Comm has challenged the NSM course, "Thinking Like Einstein." [In the meeting, the committee agreed to invite interested parties and consider the course at the Oct. 26 GE meeting; after the meeting, it was decided to postpone discussion of the NSM course until the Committee's Nov. 9 meeting, since the Chair will be absent on Oct. 26 and other members were unsure of how to proceed with the challenge]. The NSM course will be considered on Nov. 9 and all parties will be notified of this action and invited to attend the meeting.
- The challenge to the NSM course raised questions about challenges in general. GE has set up and is using their own protocol. There appears to be no written policy on this. Is this something Senate Exec should look at?
- Pam Oliver went over the meeting with the CO. Expressed concern about timeline, the rejection of our summer proposal, and the impact of EO1100 on faculty morale. The CO apparently agreed we could do what we proposed in the summer as long as it was a recommendation and not a requirement. The CO is absolutely determined to have the same GE structure at all CSU campuses, but we can put whatever classes we want in the structure.
- After a "robust discussion," Motion was passed to revise UPS 411.201 to comply with EO 1100 by removing C3, renaming C4 to C3, renumbering sections of the document, and moving forward, to reconsider the loss of these and other elements of the GE program. The "robust" discussion included the dilemma raised by moving the courses without considering what was lost by orphaning the learning objectives.
- Motion was passed to revise 411.202 to comply with EO 1100 by specifying what students would have to take in category C {3 units into to arts, 3 units intro to humanities, 3 units exploration in the arts and humanities and 3 additional units in either intro to arts or humanities}.
- Approved LTAM 100 and GERO 313 with simple, non-substantive changes
- Discussed issues presented by course renumbering. Major question, at what point does renumbering a course move from a simple course change to a change that is so significant it should be submitted as a new course. Brent Foster concerned that the UPS on this does not give him enough guidance. Is this something Senate Exec should look at?

8.5 Student Academic Life Committee [Fitch], T, 10-2-18, 9:00 - 10:00 AM, PLS-360

- The minutes were approved and we went over the results of a survey committee members completed about what they thought the committee should focus on for the year.
- The top three priorities for the year were: 1) Supplemental Instruction, 2) the revision of the UPS on Academic Appeals, and 3) Graduation Initiative 2025. Secondary issues included: 1) SSI--first year experience, 2) SSI--Male success initiative, and 3) SSI--Tuffy's basic needs. Other issues that surfaced were: 1) Belonging--Diversity initiative Resource Center, and 2) Belonging--Student Wellness Center.
- Given that those involved are working on revising the UPS on Academic Appeals, the Committee decided that it would devote its November meeting to Supplemental Instruction, while it would devote its December meeting the GI 2025. As it moves forward with these issues, the committee will decide how to prioritize the other concerns for discussion in the Spring.
- Elizabeth Boritz gave a presentation on her office's efforts to incorporate faculty into the advising process in a meaningful way and introduced us to the kinds of workshops that would be offered to faculty advisors in 2018-2019.

IX. NEW BUSINESS

9.1 SOQ Committee (task force/ad hoc committee)

(Stohs) This is from spring 2017 Statement of Opinion question. We need suggestions of faculty to serve on this committee.

Suggestions:

Arnold Holland, Eliza Noh, Su Swarat, Kristi Kanel, Eriko Self, CFA Representative, 1 faculty from each college, Kristin Stang, Ed Fink

(Stambough) The types of individuals we would be looking for are people who know the research, expressed some interest in it, and have seen it from both being on the DPC or Chair.

(Stohs) Forward additional suggestions to me via email. I would like to get the names on the Consent Calendar for the October 18th AS meeting.

9.2 Administrative Evaluation Process (task force; new ups document)

(Stohs) We rescinded UPS and the goal is to create a new policy.

(Stambough) This would be a task force with people appointed by the Senate, HRDI, and the Provost office.

(Stohs) Forward suggestions of faculty members from your colleges to me via email.

X. ADJOURNMENT

(Perez) I was thinking of shortening the format of the report and really celebrate the Commissioner's Cup.

M/S/P (Dabirian/Bruschke) Meeting adjourned at 1:00 PM.