

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FULLERTON

ACADEMIC SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MINUTES OCTOBER 9, 2018

Approved 10-16-18 Revised 10-30-18

11:30 AM - 12:50 PM

PLN-120

Present: Bruschke, Dabirian, Fitch, Gradilla, Perez, Powers, Shahi, Stohs, Stambough

Absent: Rodriguez

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Stohs called the meeting to order at 11:30 am.

II. URGENT BUSINESS

III. ANNOUNCEMENTS

No announcements.

IV. TIMES APPROXIMATE

11:30 AM - 11:45 AM

Topic: Promenade Mall

Presenter: VP Kim

VP Kim and President Virjee gave an overview of the project goals for the CSUF Promenade.

(VP Kim) The goals are to:

- Meet State Fire Marshal Fire Lane Codes
- Address ADA Path of Travel Issues
- Improve Safety
- Respond to the Master Plan for More Gathering Space
- Create a More Efficient Programming Space
- Improve Physical Environment and Campus Vibrancy
- Leverage the Opportunity
- Increase Fundraising Opportunities

The construction fences will be going up within 2-3 weeks.

(Virjee) Our goal is to get Phase 1 finished before commencement and complete the remainder of the project over the summer.

O&A:

Q: (Powers) Will you be selling individual pavements?

A: Yes

Q: (Stambough) Is that actually what the view is going to be?

A: (VP Kim) Yes that is the view from Nutwood.

Q: (Powers) Is there an opportunity for art students' sculptures, for them to contribute something?

A: (VP Kim) Yes. Along the walkway we envisioned a Tuffy statue or something, we have been talking with the students.

Q: How about students from the Art Department?

A: (VP Kim) The only thing is vandalism or people stealing their work. If there is a way we could secure their work that would be great to have pedestals there.

(Dabirian) IT is working with the contractor to add Wi-Fi access points inside the poles.

EC Minutes 10-9-18 Approved 10-16-18/Revised 10-30-18 Q: (Stohs) Would you like to present this to the Academic Senate?

A: (VP Kim) Yes. I can include this with the Fiscal State of the University report I'm giving on Nov. 1st.

V. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

5.1 EC Minutes (Draft) 10-2-18

M/S/**P** (Powers/Fitch) Minutes were approved unanimously.

VI. CHAIR'S REPORT

- We will confirm with the President's office if he will be giving the Fiscal State of the University Report on Nov. 1st, then we will decide whether or not to cancel the October 18th meeting. If we cancel the October 18th meeting, we will move the Athletics Presentation to Nov. 1st.
- We received information late last week about support for Study Abroad Programs and University Trust Funds, IRA Funds, Auxiliary Organization Funds, and Private Funds may be used.
 - (Stambough) From my read, miscellaneous course fee money could be used for Study Abroad based on, not by default, an affirmative vote by the majority of the Student Fee Committee at the University level.
- Courses that want to go into GE have to be proposed as new courses and a year later can be proposed as a GE course. The way it is for History right now, it is entirely likely that within a year there will be tenure-track faculty who do not have a course to teach. They would like an exemption from that rule for up to 3 years or 10 courses to permit them to submit simultaneously to GE and the new course proposal process. If it is ok with Exec, my next step would be to send it to GE and UCC. Exec agreed it was ok to send it to GE and UCC.
- When I heard about the concerns of the Chicano/a Resource Center, I sent an email to Student Affairs.
- We had a couple issues about the election last week. We continue to have headaches with FERP faculty. Are they counted as full-time or part-time and how do they vote? The Constitution Committee is looking into some of these things and we hope they will resolve most of them.

VII. PROVOST REPORT

I would like an update on the AA/AS Retreat and GE.

AA/AS Retreat update:

Chair Stohs reviewed the agenda for final feedback.

Q: (Stohs) Do you want to go last on the AA/AS Retreat Agenda?

A: I wanted to go anywhere except first. If I go last my strategy would be to act as a discussant throughout attending to some of the various primary points that are referenced in the program, then do a summary of the points and make comments.

Suggestions:

- It would be a good idea to have possible questions for the table discussions
- Compress the agenda by shortening the breakout sessions and serve lunch at 12:00 pm.

Chair Stohs will share the attendee list via email.

GE update:

(Fitch) For compliance, the GE Committee eliminated the C3 Category that History was in and moved C4 to C3 and updated the policy so it reflects both changes. The next part is moving History from C3 to C2. There has been some issue if overlays should be used.

Q: (Dabirian) Do you think that will be in two steps, one to be compliant and one to look at overlays, or will they both come together?

A: (Fitch) I think it will have two steps. I think the compliance has to come forward, but overlays are not going to go away.

(Knutson Miller) The reason I'm a strong advocate for this Phase 1, where there is compliance and not dropping it is because I do not want to be administratively ordered to do this, I want this to be the faculty's work.

VIII. STAFF REPORT

No report.

IX. COMMITTEE LIAISON REPORTS

- 9.1 ASI Board [Stohs], T, 10-2-18, 1:15 3:45 PM, TSU Legislative Chambers No report submitted.
- 9.2 International Education Committee [Dabirian], W, 10-3-18, 11:00 AM 12:00 PM, CP-950 No report submitted.
- 9.3 Information Technology Committee [Shahi], F, 10-5-18, 10:00 11:00 AM, LH-702 No report submitted.
- 9.4 Curriculum Committee [Gradilla], F, 10-5-18, 12:00 2:00 PM, MH-141
 - The entirety of the meeting minus the last 10-15 minutes (this is a two meeting) was dedicated to an urgent business item. UCC was asked to mediate a problem in the art department in how the curriculum process is handled or managed. There is a small faction in the art department (1/4 of the faculty...two members of this group are married to each other) want to invoke a challenge to a large number of courses based on being denied the right to challenge the courses. A few of the courses being challenged were written by a member of the disgruntled faction. UCC felt very uncomfortable intervening in an obvious departmental problem. The dean, associate dean and chair of art have been dealing with this issue since 2017. The Dean emailed the entire art department asking for disgruntled faculty to reach out in order to see how things can be reconciled. No one responded until a few weeks ago. So the disgruntled faction missed all the deadlines to contest the courses. They all have had access to Curriculog. They even commented on proposals. Brent stated that the art proposals are the most commented on ever thus far. From Academic Programs and UCC--all procedures were handled correctly. The action request would have UCC intervene in an academic challenge from within a department NOT between departments or colleges which usually happens in those cases.
 - Brent alerted us why the art department has produced so many new classes. The decision was made to change special topics courses with many topics into separate courses. So ART xxx Ceramics (special topics options A-F) would now be listed as Ceramics xxxA: European Ceramics, Ceramics xxxB: Asian Ceramics, and so on.
 - UCC (Mead) decided to write a memo to the dean, associate dean, chair, and art faculty detailing the jurisdiction of UCC. The memo also calls for art and the College of the Arts to have clear and transparent processes that are fair and open--and adhere to UPS.
- 9.5 Planning, Resource, & Budget Committee [Rodriguez], F, 10-5-18, 1:00 2:30 PM, CP-1060 No report submitted.
- 9.6 Graduate Education Committee [Powers], F, 10-5-18, 2:00 4:00 PM, MH-141 Meeting cancelled.
- 9.7 Faculty Research Policy Committee [Bruschke for Rodriguez], T, 10-9-18, 9:00 10:00 AM, MH-141

Mark Bilby presented about Open Access policy; just a report, no discussion or recommendation. The library committee has passed this twice...it would be nice to get this to the Senate floor this year. There are state-level actions that might cause a big shift on Jan 1st, led by CSUN.

Conflict of interest policy; first question is whether to split research from philanthropic/foundation policies. Generally thought one policy was better, but clearly address separate funding sources in that document. Wanted clarification of what a "personal benefit" is; there are some legal definitions that address most issues, but the commonsense understanding of the terms leaves open some ambiguity.

X. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

- 10.1 SOQ Committee (task force/ad hoc committee)
- 10.2 Administrative Evaluation Process (task force; new ups document)

XI. NEW BUSINESS

- 11.1 AA/AS Retreat Spring 2019 (March 22, 2019)
- 11.2 Revisions to UPS 210.070 Evaluation of Lecturers

We will continue discussion on this at next week's meeting, then forward the document to the AS for the November 1st meeting as a Consent Calendar item.

11.3 Request for 2019-2021 Faculty Trustee nominations

XII. ADJOURNMENT

M/S/P (Dabirian/Powers) Meeting adjourned at 12:55 PM.