

# CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FULLERTON

# ACADEMIC SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MINUTES NOVEMBER 13, 2018

Approved 12-4-18

#### 11:30 ам - 12:50 рм

**PLN-120** 

Present: Bruschke, Dabirian, Gradilla, Perez, Powers, Rodriguez, Shahi, Stambough, Stohs Absent: Fitch

### I. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Stohs called the meeting to order at 11:30 am.

#### II. URGENT BUSINESS

A draft of the proposed resolution to send out to the campus acknowledging our campus is on native land and to start embedding it into our campus identity was sent out for everyone to review and provide feedback.

VP Kim said the bolder is in a location where it may have to be moved anyway, so they will be looking into ways to deal with that.

- Q: Is it doable to present the resolution at this week's Academic Senate meeting?
- A: This meeting is packed with the Strategic Plan and the Fiscal State of the University.
- Q: Do we need to get it done at this meeting?
- A: The only reason to get it done now is we are in the midst of nearing the end of indigenous heritage month.

#### III. ANNOUNCEMENTS

(Dabirian) We had a system-wide problem with email this morning. The problem was between Microsoft and Cenic (our network provider). The issue was resolved around 10:00 am. We did not lose emails, you just didn't have access to them.

#### **IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

4.1 EC Minutes (Draft) 11-6-18 - forthcoming

#### V. CHAIR'S REPORT

> I have the nominations for the Dean of Communications Search Committee.

Nominations: Pete Evanow, HyeKyeung Seung, Mark Wu, Doug Swanson, Diane Witmer, Hunter Hargraves, Gloria Monti, and Claudia Anguiano Evans

<u>Suggestions for Search Committee</u>: Pete Evanow, HyeKyeung Seung, Diane Witmer, Hunter Hargraves, Claudia Anguiano Evans

• (Dabirian) We can also forward the names of the remaining nominees to the Provost and let her know they were interested in serving as well.

Suggestions will go on the revised Academic Senate agenda for approval at Thursday's meeting.

> Update on the SOQ Committee, we need representation from EDUC, MCBE, NSM, SOC SCI

Suggestions: SOC SCI: Matt Jarvis, Justin Tucker NSM: Martin Bonsangue MCBE: Mira Farka EDUC: Loretta Donovan

- We had the Presidential Search open forum on Friday, November 9<sup>th</sup>. There weren't that many faculty asking questions, a lot of staff and community members asked questions. The coaches of the Women's Softball and Soccer teams also asked questions. There were also a lot of students present.
  - (Dabirian) Chancellor White ran a very good open forum and he was very good at communicating.
  - (Stohs) I have been working with Chancellor White since this summer on the presidential search and has been very good about all this.
  - (Bruschke) The sum total of campus input on this is going to be the 5 members of the committee. So unfortunately, 5 people on a group of 15 make a non-binding recommendation to the trustees?
    I would like this body to draft a memo that says we endorse the recently passed Statewide Senate Resolution and we would like an open search and we could forwarded it. We would at least go on record saying we would like to see to candidates, would like them to come to campus, and the campus to have a chance to talk to them.
    - o (Dabirian) This would not have any effect, the Chancellor will not change anything, so why do it?
- > CFA is doing the Unconscious Bias Workshop on our campus on Friday, November 30th.
- We have two meetings left this semester, November 15<sup>th</sup> and December 13<sup>th</sup>. For this Thursday's meeting, the Strategic Plan and the Fiscal State of the University presentations are on the agenda. What does the Senate do as far as the Strategic Plan?
  - > (Dabirian) Last time we had a motion to receive the Strategic Plan.
  - (Stambough) You can do a motion to either 1) receive, 2) receive and endorse, 3) receive and nothing. There are a lot of different ways procedurally.
  - (Dabirian) If we receive the Strategic Plan Report, we can discuss at a later time if we want to do a Strategic Plan from the Senate.
  - (Stohs) Last week we were talking about the AA/AS Retreat for next semester and one of the ideas was not to have one. I spoke with the Provost and told her of the different things we were thinking of and she thought not having a retreat was a good idea. We discussed an alternative could be to make sure the Strategic Plan is mapped into our Senate Committee and we might have a chairs and liaison meeting in the spring where the main topic would be "What is Your Role in the Strategic Plan". If we realize we are going to do more next semester with the Strategic Plan and be more active, then receiving the report would be ok.
    - Q: (Rodriguez) Is the most recent version online?
    - A: (Stohs) No.
      - (Rodriguez) Obviously we are going to receive it, but it is a little difficult for me to process something that is currently not available to me.
    - Q: (Perez) Is there an advantage to receiving the report?

A: (Dabirian) It has been the customary that when reports come to the Senate, we receive them. It doesn't mean we endorse the report, it just formalizing it was presented to the Senate.

Q: (Powers) Will we have an opportunity to debate or endorse the Strategic Plan Report?A: (Stohs) I'm looking at the agenda and not sure how long they will talk about the Fiscal State of the University Report, so we will probably just receive the report.

(Stohs) I'm thinking we will have another meeting for the Strategic Plan early next spring.

Q: (Perez) Is it ok if Athletics hands out their Annual Report and a gift for the Senators at the beginning of the Thursday's meeting?

- A: (Stohs) Yes that would be ok.
  - (Dabirian) We should at least recognize the Commissioner's Cup at Thursday's meeting.
  - (Stambough) We should do a resolution.
- The GE Courses will appear on the December 13<sup>th</sup> AS agenda as a Consent Calendar Item. The "Thinking Like Einstein" course will most likely be pulled from Consent Calendar and it will become the first item of New Business, which will probably take most of the meeting.
- > Greg Saks will come to the November 27<sup>th</sup> EC meeting to talk about fundraising and other things.

# VI. PROVOST REPORT - 12:30 PM

- We have two searches COMM and MCBE that the Senate is providing support for. I am anticipating that the Dean of Communications Search Committee will be on the Consent Calendar at one of our next two meetings. We are anticipating we will be use a search firm to support the processing of both searches. We are looking at the UCSD Search Firm, which has been increasingly recommended by the Provost Council and are being used more frequently.
- Mark and I talked about the ways in which we may convene with the groups in the spring, if there is an alternate format to the retreat depending on how those decisions go.
- > It was nice to get a range of participants at the Presidential Search Forum last Friday.
- We have the Fiscal State of the University and the Strategic Plan presented at the Academic Senate meeting on Thursday.
  - Q: (Mark) Do you know if the Strategic Plan will be a report or a presentation?

A: It is a presentation. Various members of the Strategic Planning Steering Committee will talk about how it developed into this university document, and the mission/values. It will be a different pair talking for about 3 minutes on each of the four goals and President Virjee will wrap it up with the overall vision and the launch of the plan.

- (Stohs) We discussed about having a meeting in the spring more devoted to the Strategic Plan, to receive feedback from the Senate.
- (Knutson Miller) We have a Senior Leadership Team Retreat in January and I asked for a list of all the Senate Committees with the Exec Liaisons and the Administrative Liaisons, so as we start to think about the pieces we can map out who is it that may help with feedback, inside recommendations, and input into the operational components of the plan.

Q: (Perez) Now that it is going to be launched, we did discuss a little concern about what it means that we would be receiving it. Since it will be the first time that we are going to see this launch, we are going to be paying attention to some of those concerns. Were those concerns addressed?

A: (Knutson Miller) I would say item by item. Because the timing of the march didn't include the strategies at that point, so some of the feedback that people had been providing along the way was there. As the work began on the strategies, more of the pieces of the work that folks had been suggesting at the earlier phases got incorporated into the strategies and that was what PRBC did the big dig on. They literally pulled apart those strategies looking at the feedback that had been provided earlier, looking at what various folks, individuals/groups had said, and their own point of view in subcommittees. The PRBC broke into subcommittees to focus on the goals to make sure they could look at everything. Their response was holistic in a sense that considered it not only their individual perspectives, but what had been submitted earlier. Their feedback was also looked at line by line by the Strategic Steering Planning Committee.

# VII. STAFF REPORT

No report.

# VIII. COMMITTEE LIAISON REPORTS

8.1 ASI Board [Stohs], T, 11-6-18, 1:15 - 3:45 PM, TSU Legislative Chambers

No report submitted.

8.2 Internships & Service Learning Committee [Stambough for Bruschke], W, 11-7-18, 9:00 - 10:00 AM, PLS-360

The agenda consisted of reports from committee members about how internships work in the departments within their constituencies. Several members reported what they learned from the departments. It was clear that there a wide variation in the types of internship programs and support needs for internship programs. The committee will take this variation into consideration when finalizing reforms to the internship UPS. It was also noted that there is sizable difference in the compensation for internship coordination at the department or college level and the committee will further investigate information about staffing formulas for internship courses. Based on that investigation, the committee may recommend policy changes to address this issue as well.

- 8.3 International Education Committee [Dabirian], W, 11-7-18, 11:00 AM 12:00 PM, CP-950
  - We had quorum and approved minutes.
  - Jack Bedell presented a presentation on current international student. The top 10 countries of origin for matriculated students: 1) India, 2) China, 3) Kuwait, 4) Saudi Arabia, 5) Vietnam, 6) Germany, 7) Korea, 8) Japan, 9) Taiwan, and 10) Indonesia
  - India and China represent 75% of our Intl students
  - Total Matriculated students 2,084 we are down last year 2,462. The graduate students are the same the issue is on Graduate level. 42% ECS and 41%MCBE Undergraduate and 58% ECS and 30%MCBE Graduate.
- 8.4 Faculty Development Center Board [Shahi], F, 11-9-18, 9:30 11:00 AM, PLS-299

No report submitted.

8.5 General Education Committee [Fitch], F, 11-9-18, 2:00 - 4:00 PM, MH-141

The GE Committee met with 10 of 11 voting members present, so there was a quorum. The entire meeting was devoted to review of CNSM 101, "Think Like Einstein," which was proposed for inclusion in GE A.3, "Critical Thinking." Much of the meeting consisted of a formal hearing related to the challenges proffered by the HCOM and Philosophy departments (Psychology also submitted a challenge, but after the deadline for challenges to be submitted). After very careful consideration, the committee voted 6-4 to include the course in Category A.3 contingent upon some minor changes in the syllabus. There was considerable discussion and debate. The ultimate vote was based on the belief of a majority of the committee members that CNSM 101 met the preponderance of Learning Objectives for A.3, which is the criteria which the UPS suggests should be the major consideration in determining whether or not a course belongs in a specific GE category.

- 8.6 Faculty Research Policy Committee [Rodriguez], T, 11-13-18, 9:00 10:00 AM, MH-141
  - The entire meeting focused on UPS 610.00 and specifically focused on the policy focus and questions concerning the Conflict of Interest Committee.
  - The committee came to an agreement that the 610.00 focused should be broad and not specific to any set of agencies (i.e. NIH, NSF) or to a specific university entity (i.e. ASC).
  - This broad approach resulted in conversation around the role of various university entities such as University Advancement in externally funded work by faculty etc.
  - Two issues emerged that should probably be discussed at some point.
  - Structural issues across divisions and resulting complexities at CSUF involving research and scholarship.
  - NIH and NSF have implemented new policies regarding Title IX that AVP Liu and Stohs are scheduled to discuss.

#### IX. NEW BUSINESS

9.1 Gender Recognition Act

(Stohs) We received this is from the Chancellor back in September. There is nothing we have to do about this, because it is a state law that is being enforced.

9.2 Diversity in Faculty Recruitment/HRDI Fellows (Bobbi Porter)

(Stohs) We will work on this next semester.

# X. ADJOURNMENT

M/S/P (Dabirian/Shahi) Meeting adjourned at 12:43 PM.